# 1,3-DIARYL-PROPANES AND PROPAN-2-OLS FROM VIROLA SPECIES\*

ANAKE KIJJOA†, ASTRÉA M. GIESBRECHT‡, OTTO R. GOTTLIEB§ and HUGO E. GOTTLIEB§

†Instituto de Química and ‡Instituto de Ciências Biomédicas, Universidade de São Paulo, 05508 São Paulo, SP, Brazil; §Department of Organic Chemistry, The Weizmann Institute of Science, Rehovot, Israel

(Received 16 September 1980)

**Key Word Index**—Virola elongata; V. minutiflora; Myristicaceae; 1,3-diarylpropane; 1-aryl-3-cyclohexenonepropane; (2R)-1,3-diarylpropan-2-ols; virolane; virolaflorine; virolanol.

Abstract—The trunk woods of two Amazonian Myristicaceae, *Virola minutiflora* and *V. elongata*, contain respectively 1-(2-hydroxy-4-methoxyphenyl)-3-(3,4-methylenedioxyphenyl)-propane (virolane) besides 1-(1,5-dihydroxy-4-methoxycyclohex-3-en-2-one)-3-(3,4-methylenedioxyphenyl)-propane (virolaflorine) and three 2-hydroxy-1,3-diaryl-propanes substituted in the aromatic rings by 2-OH-4-OMe/3,4-O<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>2</sub> (virolanol), 2,4-diOH/3-OMe-4-OH (virolanol B), 2-OH-4-OMe/3-OMe-4-OH (virolanol C) besides (2*R*,3*S*)-7,3',4'-trihydroxyflavan-3-ol (fisetinidol).

### INTRODUCTION

Schultes' writings [2] on the widespread hallucinogenic and other use in South America of myristicaceous trees sparked interest in their chemical constitution [3]. As a result, among other new natural compounds, two novel flavonoid types, the 1,3-diarylpropanes and the 1,3-diarylpropan-2-ols were discovered. Virolane (1), the first 1,3-diarylpropane to be described [4], has so far been located in ten Virola spp., while eight other representatives of this type including the oxidative derivative spiroelliptin [5], have been located in six Iryanthera species. Interestingly enough, virolane proper has not yet been isolated from any Iryanthera. In Virola it is commonly accompanied by virolanol, the first and so far only 1,3-diarylpropan-2-ol described [4].

This paper reports the isolation from the trunk wood of Virola minutiflora of virolane (1) and of a novel oxidative derivative, virolaflorine (2). The species is not mentioned in Schultes' papers and no previous chemical analyses have been published. In opposition, V. elongata, V. cuspidata, V. rufula and V. theiodora received extensive, separate treatment by Schultes [6]. Rodrigues, the botanist who classified the specimens used in the present work, negates the existence of any significant morphological differences and considers the three latter binomials synonyms of V. elongata [7]. We herewith report the presence in its trunk wood of virolanol (3a) and of two novel 1,3-diarylpropan-2-ols, virolanol B (3b) and virolanol C (3c). (-)-Fisetinidol (4), a further constituent of the wood, was isolated previously from Acacia molissima [8].

#### RESULTS

Virolaflorine, C<sub>15</sub>H<sub>13</sub>O(OH)<sub>2</sub>OMe.O<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>2</sub>, such as the co-occurring virolane (1), possesses a piperonyl group linked to a trimethylene chain (2). This fact, suggested by <sup>1</sup>H and <sup>13</sup>C NMR signals (Table 1), was confirmed by irradiation of the  $\bar{C}$ -1 and C-2 protons ( $\delta$  1.5–1.7), whereupon the 2 H-3 triplet collapsed into a singlet. This triplet was assigned to the benzylic methylene protons, since upon irradiation at its frequency ( $\delta 2.51$ ) the aromatic H-2" and H-6" signals became sharper. In this experiment double resonance involved additionally another methylene proton (H-6') represented by a double doublet at  $\delta$  2.55. This H-6' is coupled not only to its methylene partner ( $J = 13 \,\mathrm{Hz}$ ,  $\delta 1.96$ ), but also to the vicinal H-5' ( $J = 5.5 \,\text{Hz}$ ) whose chemical shift ( $\delta 4.46$ ) indicates its carbinolic and allylic nature. Indeed, double irradiation at  $\delta$  4.46 simplified not only the two H-6' double doublets into doublets ( $J = 13 \,\mathrm{Hz}$ ), but also the HO-5' and olefinic H-3' ( $\delta$  5.34) doublets into singlets.

Additional clues to the particular allylic relationship of H-5' and H-3' (J = 1 Hz) resulted from two types of evidence. On the one hand, <sup>13</sup>C NMR comparisons with the model compounds 5 [9] and 6 [10] (Table 2) indicated that the  $\alpha,\beta$ -unsaturated ketone group ( $\nu_{max}$ 1680 cm<sup>-1</sup>) is indeed  $\beta$ -substituted by an oxy-group. On the other hand, irradiation at the frequency of the olefinic proton ( $\delta$  5.34) transformed the *ddd* (in presence of  $D_2O$ ) of H-5' into a dd. The fact that addition of D<sub>2</sub>O simplifies the signal of the proton at C-5' (dddd to ddd) is already proof for the substitution of this carbon atom by a free hydroxyl. Further evidence stems from the 1.15 ppm downfield shift of the H-5' signal upon acetylation. Only a monoacetate is obtained in this reaction. The second hydroxyl thus should be tertiary and linked to the sole fully substituted  $sp^3$ -carbon (C-1',  $\delta$ 75.2, s) of the molecule. The remaining three positions of C-1' can only be occupied by the piperonyltrimethylene group and the

<sup>\*</sup>Part XV in the series "The Chemistry of Brazilian Myristicaceae". For Part XIV see ref. [1]. Based on part of the Doctorate thesis presented by A. K. to Universidade de São Paulo (1980).

MeO 
$$\stackrel{3'}{\underset{1}{\longrightarrow}} OH$$
  $\stackrel{5''}{\underset{2''}{\longrightarrow}} O$   $\stackrel{5''}{\underset{2''}{\longrightarrow}} O$   $\stackrel{5''}{\underset{2''}{\longrightarrow}} O$   $\stackrel{3'}{\underset{2''}{\longrightarrow}} O$ 

3a  $R^1 = Me, R^2-R^3 = CH_2$ 3b  $R^1 = R^2 = H, R^3 = Me$ 

 $3c R^1 = R^3 = Me, R^2 = H$ 

MeO OMe OMe OMe OMe 
$$R^2$$
 R<sup>1</sup>

7b  $R^1 = H, R^2 = OH$ 

Table 1. <sup>13</sup>C NMR (22.6 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>-MeOH) and <sup>1</sup>H NMR (270 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>) data for virolaflorine (2)

Position	13C δ m	$\frac{{}^{1}H}{\delta m}$	J (Hz)	Irradiation at *			$D_2O$	
				m J r	n m J	m	m	J
1	37.3 t	} 1.5–1.7 m		*	k	altered		
2	25.0 t	} 1.5 1.7 m						
3	35.6 t	2.51 t			S	*		
1'	75.2 s							
2'	201.3 s							
3′	98.5 d	5.34 d	1	S			*	
4'	177.5 s	_						
5'	66.0 d	4.46 dddd	1, 3, 5.5, 10	*	ddd 1, 3, 10		dd 5.5, 10	
6'	41.1 dd	1.96 dd	10, 13	d 13	altered			
		2.55 dd	5.5, 13	d 13	*			
1"	135.8 s							
2"	108.9 d	6.64 d	2					
3"	147.7 s							
4"	145.7 s							
5"	108.2 d	6.70 d	8					
6"	121.2 d	6.58 dd	2, 8					
MeO-4'	56.7 q	3.78 s	<del>-,</del> -					
CH <sub>2</sub> O <sub>2</sub> -3", 4"	100.8 t	5.91 s						
HO-1'		3.70 s						
HO-5'		2.88 d	3	S				

Table 2. NMR data ( $\delta$ ) for the enone systems of virolaflorine (2) and model compounds 5 and 6

	2	5	6
C-2'	201.3	198.9	193.0
C-3'	98.5	101.2	150.4
C-4'	177.5	175.6	116.7
H-3'	5.34	5.36	
H-4'	-	<del></del>	5.60

terminals of the  $-CH_2$ .CHOH.COMe=CH.CO—chain. Indeed it must be the methoxyl which occupies the position on the double bond. A retro-Diels-Alder type cleavage of the cyclohexenone ring produced a mass spectral fragment m/z 114 (88), due presumably to [CHOH=COMe-CH=C=O]<sup>+</sup>.

Comparison, by  $^1H$  NMR, of the known virolanol (3a) [4],  $C_{15}H_{11}(OH)_2OMe.O_2CH_2$ , with virolanol B (3b),  $C_{15}H_{11}(OH)_4OMe$ , and virolanol C (3c),  $C_{15}H_{11}(OH)_3(OMe)_2$  including consideration of the derived acetates, made the constitutional elucidation of the new compounds a trivial matter. The assignment of their 2R-configuration was based on the fact that the ORD curves of all three compounds, as well as of the model 7a, show troughs in the 300 nm region, while the analogous curve of the enantiomeric 7b shows a peak at 300 nm. Both 7a and 7b were prepared by Na/NH<sub>3</sub> reduction of the corresponding catechin ethers and O-methylation [11].

#### DISCUSSION

Hydrogenolysis of catechins has been evoked for the rationalization of the biosynthesis of 1,3-diarylpropan-2-ols [12], a postulate which receives support through the co-occurrence in *V. elongata* of the virolanols (3a, 3b, 3c) with (—)-fisetinidol (4) of identical stereochemistry at the carbinolic carbon. Analogously hydrogenolysis of flavans, which are also well represented in Myristicaceae but have curiously so far been found only in *Iryanthera* species [3], may rationalize the biosynthesis of 1,3-diarylpropanes such as virolanol (1) and its oxidation product virolaflorine (2).

## **EXPERIMENTAL**

Isolation of constituents from V. minutiflora Ducke. Plant material was collected on non-inundable terrain (terra firme), at km 127 of the Santarém-Cuiabá road, on the right margin of Igarapé do Muju (Tapajoz Basin), Itaituba District, Pará State. Air dried trunk wood was reduced to powder (20 kg) and percolated with  $C_6H_6$ . The extract (16 g) was chromatographed on Si gel (350 g). Elution (300 ml fractions) was performed with:  $C_6H_{14}-C_6H_6$ , 1:1 (fractions 1–5);  $C_6H_6$  (6–9);  $C_6H_6$ –EtOAc, 4:1 (10–29); and 3:2 (30–37). Evaporation of fractions 1–5 and 20–29 gave oily aliphatic material (4 and 3 g respectively) which was not examined further. Evaporation of the other fractions gave solids which were recrystallized from the indicated solvents: fractions 6–9 (MeOH) gave sitosterol (920 mg); 10–21 ( $C_6H_{14}-C_6H_6$ ) gave 1 (840 mg); 30–37 ( $C_6H_{14}-C_6H_6$ ) gave 2 (500 mg).

Isolation of constituents from V. elongata (Spr. ex Benth.) Warb. Plant material was collected on the right margin of Rio Madeira at km 6 of the Transamazonian Road, linking Humaitá (Amazonas State) to Itaituba. Air dried trunk wood was reduced

to powder (2.5 kg) and percolated successively with C<sub>6</sub>H<sub>6</sub> and EtOAc. The  $C_6H_6$  extract (5 g) was chromatographed on Si gel (100 g). Elution, with mixtures of C<sub>6</sub>H<sub>14</sub>-C<sub>6</sub>H<sub>6</sub> of increasing polarity, gave first oily aliphatic material (3 g) and then a solid which upon recrystallization from MeOH gave sitosterol (1 g). The EtOAc extract (10g) was chromatographed on Si gel (250g). Elution (300 ml fractions) was performed with CHCl<sub>3</sub> (fractions 1-7, 1st group); CHCl<sub>3</sub>-EtOAc, 9:1 (8-21, 2nd group) and 4:1 (22-27, 3rd group). The 3 groups were evaporated. The residue of the 1st group (3g) was rechromatographed on Si gel (100g). Elution (300 ml fractions) was performed with C<sub>6</sub>H<sub>14</sub>-C<sub>6</sub>H<sub>6</sub>, 1:1 (fractions 1-9), 3:7 (10-13) and 1:4 (14-18). Fractions 1-3 gave aliphatic material and 4-9 gave sitosterol (380 mg). The solid residue of fractions 10-13 was recrystallized from C<sub>6</sub>H<sub>14</sub>-C<sub>6</sub>H<sub>6</sub> to 3a (190 mg). The residue of fractions 14-18 was purified by prep. TLC (Si gel, C<sub>6</sub>H<sub>6</sub>-EtOAc, 7:3) to 3c (100 mg). The residue of the 2nd group of fractions (1.3 g) was separated by prep. TLC (Si gel,  $C_6H_6$ -EtOAc, 1:1) into 3b (825 mg) and 1 (715 mg). The residue of the 3rd group was purified in the same way to 4 (800 mg).

1-(2-Hydroxy-4-methoxyphenyl)-3-(3,4-methylenedioxy-phenyl propane (virolane, 1), identified by comparison with an authentic sample [4].

1-(1,5-Dihydroxy-4-methoxycyclohex-3-en-2-one)-3-(3,4-methylenedioxyphenyl)-propane (virolaflorine, 2), mp 152–3° ( $C_6H_{14}-C_6H_6$ ) [M found 320.1320;  $C_{17}H_{20}O_6$  requires: 320.1260],  $v_{max}^{\rm RB}$  cm<sup>-1</sup>: 3475, 1680, 1640, 1510, 1475, 1390, 1200, 1170.  $\lambda_{max}^{\rm MCM}$  nm: 243, 283 (ε 19 150, 5150). MS (m/z): 321 (18%) M + 1, 320 (95) M<sup>+</sup>, 191 (25), 163 (10), 149 (12), 135 (100), 114 (88), 86 (93), 77 (32), 56 (62). Monoacetate, prepared by treatment of 1 with Ac<sub>2</sub>O-pyridine at room temp., mp 137–8° (purified by TLC).  $v_{max}^{\rm RB}$  cm<sup>-1</sup>: 3442, 1739, 1667, 1613, 1493, 1439, 1370, 1258, 1124, 985, 926. <sup>1</sup>H NMR (60 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>, δ): 1.5–1.9 (m, 2H-1, 2H-2), ca 2.0 (m, H-6'), 2.25–2.65 (m, H-6', 2H-3), 3.7 (s, MeO-4'), 5.37 (d, J = 1 Hz, H-3'), 5.5–5.85 (m, H-5'), 5.86 (s, O<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>2</sub>), 6.55–6.7 (m, H-2", H-5", H-6"). MS (m/z): 343 (5%) M + 1, 342 (24), M<sup>+</sup>, 320 (45), 302 (22), 284 (23), 191 (17), 149 (32), 135 (51), 114 (47), 111 (51), 43 (100).

(2R)-2-Hydroxy-1-(2-hydroxy-4-methoxyphenyl)-3-(3,4-methylenedioxyphenyl)-propane (virolanol, **3a**), identified by comparison with an authentic sample [4].  $[\alpha]_D^{25}$  (MeOH, c=0.4) - 1°. ORD (MeOH):  $[\phi]_{350} - 1250$ ,  $[\phi]_{330} - 1550$ ,  $[\phi]_{320} - 1400$ ,  $[\phi]_{195}^{1} - 2300$ ,  $[\phi]_{285}^{1}$  0.

(2R)-2-Hydroxy-1-(2,4-dihydroxyphenyl)-3-(4-hydroxy-3methoxyphenyl)-propane (virolanol B, 3b), mp 62-3° (purified by TLC [M found: 290.1130;  $C_{16}H_{18}O_5$  requires: 290.1154].  $v_{\text{max}}^{\text{KBr}}$  cm<sup>-1</sup>: 3333, 3125, 1600, 1505, 1449, 1031, 970.  $\lambda_{\text{max}}^{\text{MeOH}}$  nm: 220, 279 (ε 13250, 7600); no AlCl<sub>3</sub> and H<sub>3</sub>BO<sub>3</sub> + NaOAc shifts; λ<sub>max</sub><sup>MeOH+NaOH</sup> nm: 283 (ε 7250). Gibbs test [13]: positive. <sup>1</sup>H NMR  $[60 \text{ MHz}, (\text{CD}_3)_2\text{CO}, \delta]: 2.65-2.85 (m, 2\text{H-l}, 2\text{H-3}), 3.83 (s, \text{MeO-}$ 3"), 3.9-4.4 (m, H-2), 6.34 (dd, J = 2 and 8 Hz, H-5'), 6.43 (d, J = 22 Hz, H-3'), 6.7-7.0 (m, H-6', H-2", H-5", H-6"), MS (m/z): 290 (40 %) M<sup>+</sup>, 167 (20), 166 (20), 153 (27), 138 (100), 137 (60), 124 (23), 123 (53). 107 (30),  $[\alpha]_D^{25}$  (MeOH, c = 0.57) – 1°. ORD (MeOH):  $[\phi]_{350}$  0,  $[\phi]_{290}^{tr}$  -550,  $[\phi]_{285}$  0. Tetraacetate, oil [M found: 458.1502;  $C_{24}H_{26}O_9$  requires: 458.1569].  $v_{max}^{film}(cm^{-1})$ : 1770, 1730, 1613, 1504, 1429, 1370, 1250-1200, 909, 757. <sup>1</sup>H NMR (270 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>, δ): 1.94 (s, AcO-2), 2.15, 2.25, 2.28 (3s, 3 AcO-Ar), 2.74 (dd, J = 6 and 14 Hz, H-3), 2.75 (dd, J = 6 and 14 Hz, H-1), 2.84 (dd, J = 7 and 14 Hz, H-3), 2.86 (dd, J = 7 and 14 Hz, H-1), 3.8 (s, MeO-3"), 5.18 (tt, J = 6 and 7 Hz, H-2), 6.76 (dd, J = 2 and 8 Hz, H-6''), 6.79 (d, J = 2 Hz, H-2''), 6.89 (dJ = 2.5 Hz, H-3'), 6.91 (d, J = 8 Hz, H-5''), 6.95 (J = 2.5 and8.5 Hz, H-5'), 7.23 (d, J = 8.5 Hz, H-6'). MS (m/z): 458 (3%) M<sup>+</sup>, 416 (8), 398 (3), 356 (45), 314 (20), 272 (20), 137 (45), 123 (35), 52 (48), 43 (100).

1388 A. Kijjoa *et al.* 

(2R)-2-Hydroxy-1-(2-hydroxy-4-methoxyphenyl)-3-(4-hydroxy-3-methoxyphenyl)-propane (virolanol C, 3c), oil,  $v_{max}^{\text{film}}$  (cm<sup>-1</sup>): 3390, 1613, 1575, 1504, 1449, 1429, 1361, 1266, 1196, 1156, 1117, 1039.  $\lambda_{\text{max}}^{\text{MeOH}}$  nm: 220, 279 ( $\varepsilon$  21 700, 7600); no AlCl<sub>3</sub> or  $H_3BO_3 + NaOAc$  shifts;  $\lambda_{max}^{MeOH+NaOH}$  nm: 242, 291 (ε 18 500, 8350). Gibbs test [13]: positive. <sup>1</sup>H NMR (60 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>,  $\delta$ ): 2.7~2.95 (m, 2H-1, 2H-3), 3.8 and 3.9 (2s, 2 MeO), 4.0-4.3 (m, H-2), 5.6 (br. s, OH), 6.42 (dd, J = 2 and 8 Hz, H-5'), 6.51 (d, J = 2 Hz, H-3'), 6.7-6.8 (m, H-2'', H-5'', H-6''), 6.97 (d, H-6'')J = 8 Hz, H-6'). MS (m/z): 304 (4%) M<sup>+</sup>, 256 (6), 218 (4), 213 (6), 187 (4), 167 (7), 143 (25), 137 (45), 95 (32), 74 (63), 60 (58), 43 (100).  $[\alpha]_D^{25}$  (MeOH, c = 0.3) -1°. ORD (MeOH):  $[\phi]_{350}$ -2000,  $[\phi]_{290}^{tr} - 2350$ ,  $[\phi]_{280} - 2050$ . Triacetate, mp 123-4° (purified by TLC).  $v_{\text{max}}^{\text{KBr}}$  (cm<sup>-1</sup>): 1770, 1730, 1610, 1510, 1470, 1215, 1160, 955, 905. <sup>1</sup>H NMR (60 MHz, CDCI<sub>3</sub>, δ): 1.97 (s, AcO-2), 2.17 and 2.3 (2s, 2 AcO-Ar), 2.65–2.95 (m, 2 H-1, 2 H-3), 3.77 and 3.8 (2s, 2 MeO), 5.17 (quintet, J = 7 Hz, H-2), 6.6 (d, J = 2 Hz, H-3', 6.66 (dd, J = 2 and 8 Hz, H-5', 6.78 (m, H-2", H-1)6''), 6.9 (d, J = 8 Hz, H-5"), 7.1 (d, J = 8 Hz, H-6'). MS (m/z); 431 (2%) M<sup>+</sup> +1, 430 (6) M<sup>+</sup>, 370 (15), 329 (10), 328 (80), 286 (36), 285 (25), 150 (15), 137 (100), 43 (45).

(2R,3S)-7,3',4'-Trihydroxyflavan-3-ol [(-)-fisetinidol, 4], mp 210–2° ( $C_6H_6$ –Me<sub>2</sub>CO), [ $\alpha$ ]<sub>D</sub> (Me<sub>2</sub>CO–H<sub>2</sub>O, 1:1, c=0.3) – 10°. Lit. [8] gives mp 211–4°, [ $\alpha$ ]<sub>D</sub> (same solvent) – 9°, but no spectral data.  $\nu_{\text{max}}^{\text{KBr}}$  cm<sup>-1</sup>: 3333–3226, 1600, 1504, 1443, 1414, 1220, 1163, 1111, 1064, 1008.  $\lambda_{\text{max}}^{\text{MeOH}}$  nm: 281 ( $\epsilon$ 11 500),  $\lambda_{\text{max}}^{\text{MeOH+NaOH}}$  nm: 285 ( $\epsilon$ 11 350),  $\lambda_{\text{max}}^{\text{MeOH+NaOH}}$  nm: 288 ( $\epsilon$ 12 050). Gibbs test [13]: positive. <sup>1</sup>H NMR [60 MHz, (CD<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub>CO,  $\delta$ ]: 2.7–3.0 (m, 2 H-4), 4.20 (ca dq, J=2 and 7 Hz, H-3), 4.7 (d, J=7 Hz, H-2), 6.43 (d, J=2 Hz, H-8), 6.46 (dd, J=2 and 8 Hz, H-6), 6.83 (br. s, H-2', H-5', H-6'), 6.93 (d, J=8 Hz, H-5). MS (m/z): 274 (32%) M<sup>+</sup>, 256 (20), 152 (52), 123 (100). ORD (MeOH): [ $\phi$ ]<sub>250</sub> – 1100, [ $\phi$ ]<sub>255</sub> – 2750, [ $\phi$ ]<sub>290</sub> 0, [ $\phi$ ]<sub>280</sub> + 1100, [ $\phi$ ]<sub>263</sub> + 530, [ $\phi$ ]<sub>250</sub> + 2000. Tetraacetate,  $\nu_{\text{max}}^{\text{Film}}$  cm<sup>-1</sup>: 1770, 1740, 1626, 1504, 1429, 1370, 1250, 901, 789. <sup>1</sup>H NMR (60 MHz, CCl<sub>4</sub>,  $\delta$ ): 1.93 (s, AcO-3), 2.20 (s, AcO-Ar), 2.23 (s, 2 AcO-Ar). 2.8–3.05 (m, H-4), 5–5.3 (m, H-2, H-3), 6.5 (dd, J=2 and 8 Hz, H-6), 6.57 (d,

J = 2 Hz, H-8), 6.75–7.2 (m, H-5, H-2', H-5', H-6'). MS (m/z): 442 (1%) M<sup>+</sup>, 400 (1), 382 (8), 340 (12), 298 (12), 256 (12), 157 (15), 149 (55), 83 (85), 43 (100).

Acknowledgements—The authors are indebted to Professor Hipolito P. Ferreira Fo., Instituto de Química de Araraquara, Universidade Estadual Paulista, for the collection of the plant material; to Dr. William A. Rodrigues, Instituto Nacional de Pesquisas da Amazonia, Manaus, for the identification of the plant material; to the Brazilian Ministry of Foreign Affairs (Itamaraty) and to the Conselho Nacional de Desenvolvimento Científico e Tecnológico (CNPq) for fellowships (to A.K., on leave of absence from Chulalongkorn University, Bangkok, Thailand) and to Fundação de Amparo à Pesquisa do Estado de São Paulo (FAPESP) for financial aid.

#### REFERENCES

- Braz, Fo., R., Silva, M. S. da and Gottlieb, O. R. (1980) Phytochemistry 19, 1195.
- 2. Schultes, R. E. (1979) J. Ethnopharm. 1, 211.
- 3. Gottlieb, O. R. (1979) J. Ethnopharm. 1, 309.
- 4. Braz Fo., R., Leite, M. F. F. and Gottlieb, O. R. (1973) Phytochemistry 12, 417.
- Braz Fo. R., Diaz, D., P. P. and Gottlieb, O. R. (1980) Phytochemistry 19, 455.
- 6. Schultes, R. E. and Holmstedt, B. (1971) Lloydia 34, 61.
- 7. Rodrígues, W. A. (1980) Ciência Cultura 32 (suppl.), 12.
- 8. Roux, D. G. and Paulus, E. (1961) Biochem. J. 120.
- Levine, S. G., Hicks, R. E., Gottlieb, H. E. and Wenkert, E. (1975) J. Org. Chem. 40, 2540.
- Polonsky, J., Baskévítch, Z., Gottlieb, H. E., Hagaman, E. W. and Wenkert, E. (1975) J. Org. Chem. 40, 2499.
- Birch, A. J., Clark-Lewis, J. W. and Robertson, A. V. (1957)
  J. Chem. Soc. 3586.
- 12. Gottlieb, O. R. (1977) Isr. J. Chem. 16, 45.
- Mesquita, A. A. L., Corrêa, D. de B., Gottlieb, O. R. and Magalhães, M. T. (1968) Analyt. Chim. Acta 42, 311.